arXiv:1211.6603v2 [math.AT] 3 Dec 2012

# FORMALITY FOR ALGEBROIDS I: NERVES OF TWO-GROUPOIDS

PAUL BRESSLER, ALEXANDER GOROKHOVSKY, RYSZARD NEST, AND BORIS TSYGAN

Abstract. We prove that the three existing different definitions of the nerve of a two-groupoid are equivalent. For a differential graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ which is zero below the degree $-1$, we compute the nerve of the Deligne-Getzler groupoid in terms of the Hinich simplicial set of $\mathfrak{g}$-valued differential forms.

## Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Notation 4
2. The homotopy type of a strict 2-groupoid 4
2.1. Nerves of simplicial groupoids 4
2.2. Strict 2-groupoids 5
3. Homotopy types associated with $L_\infty$-algebras 6
3.1. $L_\infty$-algebras 6
3.2. The functor $\Sigma$ 7
3.3. Deligne groupoids 9
3.4. Properties of $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2$ 10
3.5. $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2$ vs. $\Sigma$ 11
4. Non-abelian integration 13
4.1. Piecewise polynomial forms and connections 14
4.2. Holonomy 15
4.3. Logarithmic derivatives 16
4.4. Existence and uniqueness of solutions 18
5. Multiplicative integrals 18
5.1. Coordinate systems 19
5.2. A key lemma about holonomies 20
5.3. Definition and basic properties of $I^x_D$ 22
5.4. Independence of a coordinate system 24
5.5. End of the proof of Theorem 5.2 24
6. Higher holonomy and $\Sigma = \mathrm{MC}^2$ 25
6.1. The construction of higher holonomy $\mathbb{I}$ 25
6.2. Properties of the higher holonomy map 26
References 27

---

A. Gorokhovsky was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0900968. B. Tsygan was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0906391.

## 1. Introduction

This is the first in of two articles devoted to classifying deformations of a gerbe [12] on a smooth manifold as an algebroid stack [21, 19]. It is an extension and a continuation of [4]. The main classification result is a generalization to gerbes of the formality theorem of Kontsevich [20].

An algebroid stack, and in particular a gerbe, is a sheaf of categories. Therefore deformations of a gerbe form a two-groupoid, objects being deformations themselves, one-morphisms being functors, and two-morphisms natural transformations. In [3], in the spirit of a general method dating back to [13], we expressed the deformation theory of a gerbe in terms of a differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA). More precisely, we identified the deformation two-groupoid of a gerbe with the Deligne-Getzler two-groupoid [7], [10] of a differential graded Lie algebra associated to this gerbe. In a sequel to this work, we establish an $L_\infty$ quasi-isomorphism between this DGLA and the $L_\infty$ algebra of multi-vector fields twisted by the three-dimensional cohomology class associated to the gerbe.

This would allow us to identify the deformation two-groupoid of a gerbe with a two-groupoid constructed from the latter $L_\infty$ algebra. One of the problems that we face is that the Deligne-Getzler two-groupoid is not even defined for $L_\infty$ algebras. Instead, one can define several versions of a Kan simplicial set and then compute its fundamental two-groupoid (see below). In the present paper, we study different definitions of this simplicial set, called the nerve, for a DGLA or an $L_\infty$ algebra which is zero below the degree $-1$.

More precisely, let us start by outlining several definitions of a simplicial set that can be constructed starting from such a DGLA or from a bigroupoid. A bigroupoid is by definition: a) a class of objects; b) a groupoid $\mathcal{C}(A,B)$ for every two objects, c) morphisms of composition $\mathcal{C}(A,B)\times\mathcal{C}(B,C)\to\mathcal{C}(A,C)$ and of taking inverse $\mathcal{C}(A,B)\to\mathcal{C}(B,A)$. They satisfy a set of axioms, the main being that the associativity of the composition is not true literally, but up to an invertible natural transformation that satisfies the pentagon identity; cf. [9]. Given a bigroupoid, one can construct its 2-nerve which is a simplicial set; two bigroupoids are *equivalent* if their 2-nerves are homotopy equivalent. In the case when the associativity conditions are satisfied exactly, the bigroupoid is called a strict two-groupoid.

A general simplicial construction of bigroupoids is due to Duskin, and proceeds as follows. In [9] Duskin constructs a family of endofunctors $\Pi_n$ on the category of simplicial Kan complexes such that the homotopy groups of $\Pi_n(\Sigma)$ are the same as those of $\Sigma$ up to dimension n and vanish above n. He proves moreover that $\Pi_2(\Sigma)$ is a nerve of a bigroupoid. This construction can be applied to the Kan simplicial set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to any $L_\infty$ algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by Hinich in [14] to produce a bigroupoid $\Pi_2(\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}))$. A good exposition of Duskin's theory can be found in [2].

Another example of a construction of a bigroupoid is the strict two-groupoid associated to any nilpotent DGLA $\mathfrak{g}$ which is equal to zero in degrees below $-1$, the Deligne Getzler two-groupoid $MC^2(\mathfrak{g})$ (cf. [7], [11]). One of the results of this paper is the proof of the fact that these two constructions produce equivalent bigroupoids:

**Theorem 3.7** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent DGLA which is equal to zero in degrees below $-1$. Then $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is canonically isomorphic to the 2-nerve of $MC^2(\mathfrak{g})$ in the homotopy category of simplicial sets.*

Guided by this result, we will use the following terminology.

**Definition** *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a nilpotent* $L_\infty$ *algebra which is equal to zero in degrees below* $-1$*. We set* $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}) = \Pi_2(\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}))$.

The proof of the above theorem requires an analysis of the notion of a 2-nerve. There are at least three different notions of a 2-nerve of a strict groupoid.

1. A natural explicit definition of the simplicial complex whose vertices are objects, one-simplices are one-morphisms, and two-simplices are two-morphisms. This definition is valid for a bigroupoid and is the one used in [9], hence we will call it the Duskin nerve.

On the other hand, the nerve of a two-groupoid is a simplicial groupoid, and for any simplicial category $\mathcal{C}$ one can construct two versions of a nerve, namely:

2. *The naïve 2-nerve* $\mathcal{NC}$, which is the diagonal of the bisimplicial set obtained by passing from a category to its nerve in every simplicial degree.

3. *The homotopy coherent nerve* $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{C}$([6]; cf. [15] for applications to deformation theory, and [22] for applications to higher category theory).

In the case of a strict two-groupoid, all these notions coincide up to homotopy. In fact the homotopy coherent nerve is isomorphic to the Duskin 2-nerve and is homotopy equivalent to the naïve nerve in the case of a strict two-groupoid. In the case when the two-groupoid is the Deligne-Getzler two-groupoid of a DGLA $\mathfrak{g}$ vanishing in degrees below -1 we give also a direct proof of the fact that $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is homotopy equivalent both to the naïve nerve and to the Duskin nerve.

The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2, we begin the study of different types of nerves. We establish the equivalence between the naïve and the homotopy nerves of a strict 2-groupoid in 2.1, and the isomorphism between the homotopy nerve and the Duskin nerve in 2.2.1. After recalling the definitions of the Deligne and the Deligne-Getzler groupoids in 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, and of the simplicial set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ in 3.5, we prove, in the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent DGLA vanishing in degrees below -1, the homotopy equivalence between $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ and the naïve nerve and therefore, thanks to the theorem from [15], to the homotopy nerve $\mathfrak{N}(\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}))$ (Theorem 3.7).

The next sections are devoted to the construction of an explicit homotopy equivalence between $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ and the homotopy nerve of the Deligne-Getzler groupoid $\mathrm{MC}^2\mathfrak{g}$.

As a preparation, in sections 4 and 5, we develop a version of multiplicative integration, analogous to [24] but more appropriate for our needs.

In section 6 we construct a map $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{N}(\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}))$ (6.1) and then prove that this map is a homotopy equivalence (Theorem 6.6).

*Remark* 1.1. In the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is equal to zero in degrees below 0, an analogue of the theorem 6.4 was proved by Hinich. In [10] Getzler introduces a simplicial Kan subset $\gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$. One can check that the map from section 6 induces a bijection of simplicial sets $\gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ and the 2-nerve of $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g})$. In the case of a nilpotent DGLA vanishing in degrees below 0 the existence of the corresponding bijection of $\gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ with the nerve of the Deligne groupoid of $\mathfrak{g}$ was stated in [10].

1.1. **Notation.** We denote by $\Omega_n$ the commutative DGA of polynomial forms on the $n$-dimensional simplex:

$$\Omega_n = \mathbb{C}[t_0, \ldots, t_n, dt_0, \ldots, dt_n]/\langle \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_i - 1, \sum_{i=0}^{n} dt_i \rangle,$$

$|t_i| = 0$, $|dt_i| = 1$. The differential $d\colon \Omega_n \to \Omega_n[1]$ is defined by $d\colon t_i \mapsto dt_i$, $d\colon dt_i \mapsto 0$.

## 2. The homotopy type of a strict 2-groupoid

### 2.1. Nerves of simplicial groupoids.

2.1.1. **Simplicial groupoids.** In what follows a *simplicial category* is a category enriched over the category of simplicial sets. A small simplicial category consists of a set of objects and a simplicial set of morphisms for each pair of objects.

A simplicial category $\mathtt{G}$ is a particular case of a simplicial object $[p] \mapsto \mathtt{G}_p$ in Cat whose simplcial set of objects $[p] \mapsto N_0\mathtt{G}_p$ is constant.

A simplicial category is a simplicial groupoid if it is a groupoid in each (simplicial) degree.

2.1.2. **The naïve nerve.** Suppose that $\mathtt{G}$ is a simplicial groupoid. Applying the nerve functor degree-wise we obtain the bi-simplicial set $N\mathtt{G}\colon ([p],[q]) \mapsto N_q\mathtt{G}_p$ whose diagonal we denote by $\mathcal{N}\mathtt{G}$ and refer to as the *naïve nerve* of $\mathtt{G}$.

2.1.3. **The simplicial nerve.** For a simplicial groupoid $\mathtt{G}$ (and, more generally, a simplicial category) the *simplicial nerve*, otherwise known as the homotopy coherent nerve, $\mathfrak{N}\mathtt{G}$ is represented by the cosimplicial object in $[p] \mapsto \Delta^p_{\mathfrak{N}} \in Cat_\Delta$, i.e

$$\mathfrak{N}_p\mathtt{G} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_\Delta}(\Delta^p_{\mathfrak{N}}, \mathtt{G}).$$

Here, $\Delta^p_{\mathfrak{N}}$ is the canonical free simplicial resolution of $[p]$ which admits the following explicit description ([6]).

The set of objects of $\Delta^p_{\mathfrak{N}}$ is $\{0, 1, \ldots, p\}$. For $0 \le i \le j \le p$ the simplicial set of morphisms is given by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta^p_{\mathfrak{N}}}(i,j) = N\mathcal{P}(i,j)$. The category $\mathcal{P}(i,j)$ is a subset of $2^{\{0,\ldots,p\}}$ endowed with the induced partial ordering (whereby viewed as a category) given by

$$\mathcal{P}(i,j) = \{I \subset \mathbb{Z} \mid i \in I \ \&\ j \in I \ \&\ k \in I \implies i \le k \le j\}.$$

The composition in $\Delta^p_{\mathfrak{N}}$ is induced by functors

$$\mathcal{P}(i,j) \times \mathcal{P}(j,k) \to \mathcal{P}(i,k)\colon (I,J) \mapsto I \cup J.$$

In particular, $\Delta^0_{\mathfrak{N}} = [0]$ and $\Delta^1_{\mathfrak{N}} = [1]$

We refer the reader to [15] for applications to deformation theory and to [22] for the connection with higher category theory. The simplicial nerve of a simplicial groupoid is a Kan complex which reduces to the usual nerve for ordinary groupoids.

Since $\Delta^0_{\mathfrak{N}} = [0]$ (respectively, $\Delta^1_{\mathfrak{N}} = [1]$) it follows that $\mathfrak{N}_0\mathtt{G}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{N}_1\mathtt{G}$) is the set of objects (respectively, the set of morphisms of $\mathtt{G}_0$)

2.1.4. **Comparison of nerves.** We refer the reader to [15] for the definition of the canonical map of simplicial sets $\mathcal{N}\mathtt{G} \to \mathfrak{N}\mathtt{G}$. In what follows we will make use of the following result of loc. cit.

**Theorem 2.1** ([15]). *For any simplicial groupoid* $\mathtt{G}$ *the canonical map* $\mathcal{N}\mathtt{G} \to \mathfrak{N}\mathtt{G}$ *is an equivalence.*

### 2.2. Strict 2-groupoids.

2.2.1. **From strict 2-groupoids to simplicial groupoids.** Suppose that $\mathtt{G}$ is a strict 2-groupoid, i.e. a groupoid enriched over the category of groupoids. Thus, for every $g, g' \in \mathtt{G}$, we have the groupoid $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(g, g')$ and the composition is strictly associative.

The nerve functor $[p] \mapsto N_p(\cdot) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}}([p], \cdot)$ commutes with products. Let $\mathtt{G}_p$ denote the category with the same objects as $\mathtt{G}$ and with morphisms defined by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}_p}(g, g') = N_p \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(g, g')$; the composition of morphisms is induced by the composition in $\mathtt{G}$. Note that the groupoid $\mathtt{G}_0$ is obtained from $\mathtt{G}$ by forgetting the 2-morphisms.

The assignment $[p] \mapsto \mathtt{G}_p$ defines a simplicial object in groupoids with the constant simplicial set of objects, i.e. a simplicial groupoid which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathtt{G}}$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The simplicial nerve* $\mathfrak{N}\widetilde{\mathtt{G}}$ *admits the following explicit description:*

1. *There is a canonical bijection between* $\mathfrak{N}_0\mathtt{G}$ *and the set of objects of* $\mathtt{G}$.
2. *For* $n \geq 1$ *there is a canonical bijection between* $\mathfrak{N}_n\mathtt{G}$ *and the set of data of the form* $((\mu_i)_{0\leq i\leq n}, (g_{ij})_{0\leq i<j\leq n}, (c_{ijk})_{0\leq i<j<k\leq n})$, *where* $(\mu_i)$ *is an* $n$*-tuple of objects of* $\mathtt{G}$, $(g_{ij})$ *is a collection of* 1*-morphisms* $g_{ij}\colon \mu_j \to \mu_i$ *and* $(c_{ijk})$ *is a collection of* 2*-morphisms* $c_{ijk} : g_{ij}g_{jk} \to g_{ik}$ *which satisfies* $c_{ijl}c_{jkl} = c_{ikl}c_{ijk}$ *(in the set of* 1*-morphisms* $g_{ij}g_{jk}g_{kl} \to g_{il}$*).*

*For a morphism* $f\colon [m] \to [n]$ *in* $\Delta$ *the induced structure map* $f^*\colon \mathfrak{N}_n\mathtt{G} \to \mathfrak{N}_m\mathtt{G}$ *is given (under the above bijection) by* $f^*((\mu_i), (g_{ij}), (c_{ijk})) = ((\nu_i), (h_{ij}), (d_{ijk}))$, *where* $\nu_i = \mu_{f(i)}$, $h_{ij} = g_{f(i),f(j)}$, $d_{ijk} = c_{f(i),f(j),f(k)}$ *(cf.* [9]*).*

*Proof.* An $n$-simplex of $\mathfrak{N}\widetilde{\mathtt{G}}$ is the following collection of data:

1. objects $\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_n$ of $\mathtt{G}$;
2. morphisms of simplicial sets $N\mathcal{P}(i,j)) \to N\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_i, \mu_j))$ intertwining the maps induced on the nerves by composition functors $\mathcal{P}(i,j) \times \mathcal{P}(j,k) \to \mathcal{P}(i,k)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_i, \mu_j) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_j, \mu_k) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_i, \mu_k)$.

Since the nerve functor is fully faithful, the above data are equivalent to the following:

1. objects $\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_n$ of $\mathtt{G}$;
2. for any $I \in N_0\mathcal{P}(i,j)$, a 1-morphism $g_I : \mu_j \to \mu_i$ in $\mathtt{G}$;
3. for any morphism $J \to I$ in $\mathcal{P}(i,j)$, a 2-morphism $c_{IJ} : g_J \to g_I$, such that

$$c_{IJ}c_{JK} = c_{IK} \tag{2.1}$$

These data have to be compatible with the compositions $\mathcal{P}(i,j) \times \mathcal{P}(j,k) \to \mathcal{P}(i,k)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_i, \mu_j) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_j, \mu_k) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathtt{G}}(\mu_i, \mu_k)$.

Denote by $g_{ij} : \mu_j \to \mu_i$ the morphism $g_{\{i,j\}}$. By compatibility with compositions, if $I = \{i, i_1, \ldots, i_k, j\}$ then $g_I = g_{ii_1} \ldots g_{i_kj}$. Denote by $c_{ijk}$ the two-morphism $c_{\{i,j,k\},\{i,k\}}$. This is a two-morphism $g_{ik} \to g_{ij}g_{jk}$. Now, by virtue of (2.1) and of compatibility with compositions, $c_{ijk}$ satisfy the two-cocycle identity from the statement of the lemma, and define uniquely the rest of $c_{IJ}$. □

In what follows we will write $\mathcal{N}\mathtt{G}$ and $\mathfrak{N}\mathtt{G}$ for the nerves (naïve and simplicial respectively) of the associated simplicial groupoid.

## 3. Homotopy types associated with $L_\infty$-algebras

3.1. **$L_\infty$-algebras.** We follow the notation of [10] and refer the reader to loc. cit. for details.

Recall that an $L_\infty$-algebra is a graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with operations

$$\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}[2-k]\colon x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_k \mapsto [x_1, \ldots, x_k]$$

defined for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. which satisfy a sequence of Jacobi identities.

It follows from the Jacobi identities that the unary operation $[.]\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}[1]$ is a differential, which we will denote by $\delta$.

An $L_\infty$-algebra is *abelian* if all operations with valency two and higher (i.e. all operations except for $\delta$) vanish. In other words, an abelian $L_\infty$-algebra is a complex. An $L_\infty$-algebra structure with vanishing operations of valency three and higher reduces to a structure of a DGLA.

The *lower central series* of an $L_\infty$-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the canonical decreasing filtration $F^\bullet\mathfrak{g}$ with $F^i\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ for $i \leq 1$ and defined recursively for $i \geq 1$ by

$$F^{i+1}\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i=i_1+\cdots+i_k \\ i_k \leqslant i}} [F^{i_1}\mathfrak{g}, \ldots, F^{i_k}\mathfrak{g}].$$

An $L_\infty$-algebra is *nilpotent* if there exists an $i$ such that $F^i\mathfrak{g} = 0$.

3.1.1. **Maurer-Cartan elements.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebra. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ let

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \delta\mu + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}[\mu^{\wedge k}]. \tag{3.1}$$

The element $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ of $\mathfrak{g}^2$ is called the *curvature* of $\mu$. For any $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ the curvature $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ satisfies the Bianchi identity ([10], Lemma 4.5)

$$\delta\mathcal{F}(\mu) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}[\mu^{\wedge k}, \mathcal{F}(\mu)] = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

An element $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ is called a *Maurer-Cartan element* (of $\mathfrak{g}$) if it satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu) = 0.$$

The set of Maurer-Cartan elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ will be denoted $\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian $L_\infty$-algebra. Then,

$$\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{a}) = Z^1(\mathfrak{a}) := \ker(\delta\colon \mathfrak{a}^1 \to \mathfrak{a}^2),$$

hence is equipped with a canonical structure of an abelian group.

3.1.2. **Central extensions.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebra and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a subcomplex of $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$ such that $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ (in other words, $\mathfrak{a}$ is central in $\mathfrak{g}$). Then, there is a unique structure of an $L_\infty$-algebra on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ such that the projection $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ is a map of $L_\infty$-algebras. It is clear that $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ is nilpotent.

Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^1$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^1$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is central in $\mathfrak{g}$, $[(\alpha+\mu)^{\wedge k}] = [\mu^{\wedge k}]$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{F}(\alpha + \mu) = \delta\alpha + \mathcal{F}(\mu)$ (in the notation of (3.1)). Therefore, $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{a}) + \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$. In other words, the addition operation in $\mathfrak{g}^1$ restricts to an action of the abelian group $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{a})$ on the set $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$ which is obviously free. The map $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ is constant on the orbits of the action, i.e. factors through $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g})/\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{a})$, and the induced map $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g})/\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{a}) \to \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ is injective.

The image of the map $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ may be described as follows. If $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ and $\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1 \in \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1) = \mathcal{F}(\mu) + \delta\mathfrak{a}^1 \subset \mathfrak{a}^2$ and the Bianchi identity (3.2) reduces to $\delta\mathcal{F}(\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1) = 0$, i.e. the assignment $\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1 \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1)$ gives rise to a well-defined map

$$o_2\colon \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{a}) \tag{3.3}$$

(notation borrowed from [13], 2.6).

If $\mathcal{F}(\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1) \subset \delta\mathfrak{a}^1$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^1$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\mu + \alpha) = 0$, i.e. $\mu + \mathfrak{a}^1$ is in the image of $\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$. In other words, the sequence of pointed sets

$$0 \to \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g})/\operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{a}) \to \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \xrightarrow{o_2} H^2(\mathfrak{a}) \tag{3.4}$$

is exact.

3.2. **The functor $\Sigma$.** For a nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a non-negative integer $n$ let $\Sigma_n = \operatorname{MC}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_n)$. The assignment $n \mapsto \Sigma_n(\mathfrak{g})$ defines a simplicial set denoted $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$.

The simplicial set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ was introduced by Hinich in [14] for DGLAs and used by Getzler in [10] (where it is denoted $\operatorname{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$) for general nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebras.

3.2.1. **Abelian algebras.** If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian algebra, then $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ is given by $\Sigma_n(\mathfrak{a}) = Z^1(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{a}) = Z^0(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{a}[1])$ and has a canonical structure of a simplicial abelian group. In particular, it is a Kan simplicial set.

Recall that the Dold-Kan correspondence associates to a complex of abelian groups $A$ a simplicial abelian group $K(A)$ defined by $K(A)_n = Z^0(C^\bullet([n]; A))$, the goup of cocycles of (total) degree zero in the complex of simplicial cochains on the $n$-simplex with coefficients in $A$.

The integration map $\int\colon \Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{a} \to C^\bullet([n]; \mathfrak{a})$ induces a homotopy equivalence

$$\int\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \to K(\mathfrak{a}[1]). \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, $\pi_i\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \cong H^{1-i}(\mathfrak{a})$.

3.2.2. **Central extensions.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebra and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a central subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$, $\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{a}$ is central in $\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Naturality properties of the constructions in 3.1.2 yield a prinicipal action of the simplicial abelian group $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ on the simplicial set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$. The map $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ factors through $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})/\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$.

The maps $o_2\colon \Sigma_n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{a})$ assemble into the map of simplicial sets

$$o_2\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{a}),$$

where $H^2(\mathfrak{a})$ is a constant simplicial set. Let $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_0 = o_2^{-1}(0)$. Thus, $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_0$ is a union of connected components of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ equal to the range of the map $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})/\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ by (3.4).

It follows that the map $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_0$ is a prinicpal fibration with group $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$, in particular, a Kan fibration ([23], Lemma 18.2).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebra. Then, $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Kan simplicial set.*

*Proof.* $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is obtained as an iterated principal fibration using the lower central series. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent $L_\infty$-algebra such that $\mathfrak{g}^i = 0$ for $i \le -k$, $k$ a positive integer. Then, $\pi_i\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ for $i > k$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian. Then, $\pi_i\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H^{1-i}(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\mathfrak{g}$ not necessarily abelian the statement follows by induction on the nilpotency length using the long exact sequence of homotopy groups and associated to the Kan fibration $\Sigma(Gr^i_F\mathfrak{g}) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/F^{i+1}\mathfrak{g}) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}/F^i\mathfrak{g})$. □

### 3.2.3. **Homotopy invariance.**

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that $f\colon \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{b}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of abelian algebras. Then, the induced map $\Sigma(f)\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{b})$ is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* The claim follows immediately from the fact that in non-positive degres the homotopy groups of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ (resp. $\Sigma(\mathfrak{b})$) coincide with the cohomology of $\mathfrak{a}[1]$ (resp $\mathfrak{b}[1]$). □

**Proposition 3.4** ([10], Proposition 4.9)**.** *Suppose that $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of $L_\infty$-algebras and $R$ is an Artin algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$. Then, the map $\Sigma(f \otimes \mathtt{Id})\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R)$ is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* We use induction on the nilpotency length of $\mathfrak{m}_R$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}_R^{l+1} = 0$. By the induction hypothesis the map $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R) \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R)$ is an equivalence, in particular the map $\pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R) \to \pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R)$ is a bijection. The map $f \otimes \mathtt{Id}_{\mathfrak{m}^l_R}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of abelian $L_\infty$-algebras, therefore the map $H^2(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}^l_R) \to H^2(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}^l_R)$ is an isomorphism. The commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R) \arrow[d] \\
H^2(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}^l_R) \arrow[r] & H^2(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}^l_R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

implies that the map

$$\pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R)_0 \to \pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R)_0$$

is a bijection. Therefore, the map

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R)_0 \to \Sigma(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}^l_R)_0$$

is an equivalence. The map $\Sigma(f)$ restricts to a map of principal fibrations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R) \arrow[d] \\
\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^l)_0 \arrow[r] & \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^l)_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

relative to the map of simplicial groups $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R^l)\to\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R^l)$. The latter is an eqivalence by Lemma 3.3. Therefore, so is the map $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R)\to\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{m}_R)$. □

### 3.3. **Deligne groupoids.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a nilpotent DGLA.

3.3.1. **The functor** $\mathrm{MC}^1$**.** For $\mathfrak{h}$ as above we denote by $\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})$ the Deligne groupoid (denoted $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ in [14]) defined as the groupoid associated with the action of the unipotent group $G(\mathfrak{h}) := \exp(\mathfrak{h}^0)$ by gauge transformations on the set $\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$ of Maurer-Cartan elements in $\mathfrak{h}$. This action is given by the formula

$$(\exp X)\cdot\gamma = \gamma - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mathtt{ad}\,X)^i}{(i+1)!}(dX+[\gamma,X])$$

If $\exp X$ is a gauge equivalence between two Maurer-Cartan elements $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2 = (\exp X)\cdot\gamma_1$ then

$$d+\mathtt{ad}\,\gamma_2 = \mathrm{Ad}\exp X\,(d+\mathtt{ad}\,\gamma_1). \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})$ is the category with the set of objects $\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$. For $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$ is the set of gauge equivalences between $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$. The composition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_2,\gamma_3)\times\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_3)$$

is given by the product in the group $\exp(\mathfrak{h}^0)$.

3.3.2. **The functor** $\mathrm{MC}^2$**.** For $\mathfrak{h}$ as above satisfying the additional vanishing condition $\mathfrak{h}^i = 0$ for $i\le -2$ we denote by $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ the Deligne-Getzler 2-groupoid as defined by P. Deligne [7] and independently by E. Getzler, [10]. Below we review the construction of Deligne-Getzler 2-groupoid of a nilpotent DGLA following [10, 11] and references therein.

The objects and the 1-morphisms of $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ are those of $\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})$. That is, for $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$ the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$ is the set of objects of the groupoid $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$. The morphisms in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$ (i.e. the 2-morphisms of $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$) are defined as follows.

For $\gamma\in\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$ let $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma$ denote the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$ defined by

$$[a,\,b]_\gamma = [a,\,\delta b+[\gamma,\,b]]. \tag{3.7}$$

Equipped with this bracket, $\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$ becomes a nilpotent Lie algebra. We denote by $\exp_\gamma\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$ the corresponding unipotent group, and by

$$\exp_\gamma\colon\mathfrak{h}^{-1}\to\exp_\gamma\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$$

the corresponding exponential map. If $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$ are two Maurer-Cartan elements, then the group $\exp_{\gamma_2}\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$. For $\exp_{\gamma_2}t\in\exp_{\gamma_2}\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$ the action is given by

$$(\exp_{\gamma_2}t)\cdot(\exp X) = \exp(\delta t+[\gamma_2,t])\,\exp X\in\exp\mathfrak{h}^0.$$

By definition, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is the groupoid associated with the above action.

The horizontal composition in $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$, i.e. the map of groupoids

$$\otimes : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\exp X_{23}, \exp Y_{23}) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\exp X_{12}, \exp Y_{12}) \to \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\exp X_{23} \exp X_{12}, \exp X_{23} \exp Y_{12}),$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\exp X_{ij}, \exp Y_{ij}$, $1 \le i, j \le 3$ is defined by

$$\exp_{\gamma_3} t_{23} \otimes \exp_{\gamma_2} t_{12} = \exp_{\gamma_3} t_{23} \exp_{\gamma_3}(e^{\mathsf{ad}\, X_{23}}(t_{12})),$$

where $\exp_{\gamma_j} t_{ij} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\exp X_{ij}, \exp Y_{ij})$.

*Remark* 3.5. There is a canonical map of 2-groupoids $\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ which induces a bijection $\pi_0(\mathrm{MC}^1(\mathfrak{h})) \to \pi_0(\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}))$ on sets of isomorphism classes of objects.

## 3.4. **Properties of $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2$.**

### 3.4.1. **Abelian algebras.**

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian DGLA satisfying $\mathfrak{a}^i = 0$ for $i \le -2$. Then, the simplicial sets $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$ and $K(\mathfrak{a}[1])$ are isomorphic naturally in $\mathfrak{a}$.*

*Proof.* The claim is an immediate consequence of the definitions and the explicit description of the nerve of $\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$ given by Lemma 2.2. ☐

Combining Lemma 3.6 with the integration map (3.5) we obtain the map of simplicial abelian groups

$$\int : \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a}) \tag{3.8}$$

which is a homotopy equivalence (of the underlying simplicial sets).

3.4.2. **Central extensions.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent DGLA satisfying $\mathfrak{g}^i = 0$ for $i \le -2$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a central subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that

$\mathrm{MC}^2$ commutes with producs, $\mathfrak{N}$ commutes with products, the addition map $+ \colon \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a morphism of DGLA. Thus, we obtain an action of the simplicial abelian group $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$ on the simplicial set $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g})$

$$\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(+) \colon \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a}) \times \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

(Note that the group structure on $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$ is obtained from the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$.) Clearly, the action is free and the map $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ factors through $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$.

The construction of 3.1.2 yields the map

$$o_2 \colon \mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{a})$$

for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ such that the sequence of pointed sets

$$0 \to \mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \xrightarrow{o_2} H^2(\mathfrak{a})$$

is exact. The maps $o_2 \colon \mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \xrightarrow{o_2} H^2(\mathfrak{a})$ assemble into a map of simplicial sets $o_2 \colon \mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}) \xrightarrow{o_2} H^2(\mathfrak{a})$, where $H^2(\mathfrak{a})$ is constant. Let $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_0 = o_2^{-1}(0)$. The simplicial subset $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_0$ is a union of connected components of $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ equal to the range of the map $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$.

It follows that $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_0$ is a principal fibration with the group $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$.

### 3.5. $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2$ vs. $\Sigma$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2_n(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathrm{MC}^2(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h})\colon [n] \mapsto \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2_n(\mathfrak{h})$ denote the corresponding simplicial object in simplicial groupoids. Note that $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h})$ is the simplicial set of objects of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h})$, hence there is a canonical map

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h}). \tag{3.9}$$

The map $\mathbb{Q} \to \Omega_\bullet$ induces the map of simplicial objects in simplicial groupoids

$$\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \to \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h}). \tag{3.10}$$

Consider the diagram

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{(3.9)} \mathfrak{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{N}(3.10)} \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}). \tag{3.11}$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is a nilpotent DGLA satisfying* $\mathfrak{h}^i = 0$ *for* $i \leq -2$. *Then, the diagram* (3.11) *represents an isomorphism* $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ *in the homotopy category of simplicial sets.*

The rest of Section 3.5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.7 which borrows techniques from the proof of Proposition 3.2.1 of [17].

**Proposition 3.8.** *The map* (3.9) *is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h})$ denote the simplicial object in groupoids defined by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^1_n(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathrm{MC}^1(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})$. Note that $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h})$ is the simplicial set of objects of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h})$ and hence there is a canonical map

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h}); \tag{3.12}$$

by Remark 3.5 there is a canonical map of simplicial objects in simplicial groupoids

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \to \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h}). \tag{3.13}$$

The map (3.9) is equal to the composition

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h}).$$

The last map is the equivalence of Theorem 2.1.

The map (3.12) is an equivalence by Proposition 3.2.1 of [17]. We reproduce the proof in our notation.

Let $G_n(\mathfrak{h}) := \exp((\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})^0)$. Then, $G(\mathfrak{h})\colon [n] \mapsto G_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is a simplicial group acting on $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h})$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the associated groupoid. Therefore,

$$N_q\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{h}) = \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \times G(\mathfrak{h})^{\times q}$$

and the map

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \to N_q\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{h})$$

is an equivalence because $G(\mathfrak{h})$ is contractible.

In order to show that the map (3.13) is an equivalence, we will replace it with a map of simplicial groupoids and show that the latter is an equivalence.

Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}^1(\mathfrak{h})$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h})$ whose set of objects is $\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$ (a constant simplicial set). Similarly, let $\mathbf{\Gamma}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ with the same set of objects. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{\Gamma}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{\Gamma}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r, "(3.13)"] & \mathbf{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h})
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical arrows induce equivalences on respective nerves since, for each $n$ the functors $\mathbf{\Gamma}^1(\mathfrak{h})_n \to \mathbf{\Sigma}^1(\mathfrak{h})_n = \mathrm{MC}^1(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}^2(\mathfrak{h})_n \to \mathbf{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h})_n = \mathrm{MC}^2(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ are equivalences by [16], Proposition 8.2.5.

The map $\mathbf{\Gamma}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathbf{\Gamma}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ induces a bijection between sets of isomorphism classes of objects. For $\mu \in \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\mu,\mu)$ is naturally identified with the nerve of the groupoid associated to the action of the simplicial group $H(\mathfrak{h},\mu)\colon [n] \mapsto \exp((\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})_\mu)$ on the simplicial set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\mu,\mu)$. Since the group $H(\mathfrak{h},\mu)$ is contractible (it is isomorphic as a simplicial set to $[n] \mapsto \Omega^0_n \otimes \mathfrak{h}^{-1}$) the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}^1(\mathfrak{h})}(\mu,\mu) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\mu,\mu)$ is an equivalence. □

**Proposition 3.9.** *The map* $\mathfrak{N}((3.10))\colon \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{N}\mathbf{\Sigma}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ *is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the map

$$\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h})$$

is an equivalence for all $n$. This follows from Proposition 3.10 below. □

**Proposition 3.10.** *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is a nilpotent DGLA concentrated in degrees greater than or equal to* $-1$*. The functor*

$$\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathrm{MC}^2(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \tag{3.14}$$

*is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* The induced map $\pi_0((3.14))$ is a bijection by Remark 3.5 and (the proof of) [14], Lemma 2.2.1. The result now follows from Lemma 3.11 below. □

**Lemma 3.11.** *Suppose* $\mu \in \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$*. The functor*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})}(\mu,\mu) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\Omega_n\otimes\mathfrak{h})}(\mu,\mu) \tag{3.15}$$

*is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* According to the description given in 3.3.2, for any nilpotent DGLA $(\mathfrak{g},\delta)$ with $\mathfrak{g}^i = 0$ for $i \leq -2$ and $\mu \in \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$ the groupoid $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g})}(\mu,\mu)$ is isomorphic to the groupoid associated with the action of the group $\exp_\mu \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ on the set $\exp(\ker(\delta_\mu^{-1})) \subset \exp(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ where $\delta_\mu = \delta + [\mu, .]$. Note that, for any $X \in \ker(\delta_\mu^{-1})$, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\exp(X))$ is isomorphic to (the additive group) $\ker(\delta_\mu^{-1})$.

The map

$$(\mathfrak{h},\delta) \to (\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h}, d+\delta) \tag{3.16}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of DGLA with the quasi-inverse given by the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_0\colon \Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}$, also a map of DGLA. The same maps are mutually quasi-inverse quasi-isomorphisms of DGLA

$$(\mathfrak{h},\delta_\mu) \rightleftarrows (\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h}, d+\delta_\mu).$$

Since (3.16) is a quasi-isomorphism and both DGLA are concentrated in degrees greater than or equal to $-1$, the induced map $\ker(\delta_\mu^{-1}) \to \ker((d+\delta_\mu)^{-1})$ an isomorphism, hence so are the maps of automorphism groups.

Since the map (3.15) admits a left inverse (namely, $\mathrm{ev}_0$) it remains to show that the induced map on sets of isomorphism classes is surjective. Note that, since $\mathrm{ev}_0$ is a surjective quasi-isomorphism, the map $d+\delta_\mu\colon \ker(\mathrm{ev}_0)^{-1} \to \ker(\mathrm{ev}_0)^0 \bigcap \ker((d+\delta_\mu)^0)$ is an isomorphism.

Consider $X \in (\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{g})^0$. Then, $X = \mathrm{ev}_0(X) + Y$ with $Y \in \ker(\mathrm{ev}_0)$, and $(d+\delta_\mu)X = 0$ if and only if $\delta_\mu \mathrm{ev}_0(X) = 0$ and $(d+\delta_\mu)Y = 0$.

Suppose $X \in \ker((d+\delta_\mu)^0)$. Then, $\exp(X) = \exp(\mathrm{ev}_0(X)) \cdot \exp(Z)$ where $Z \in \ker(\mathrm{ev}_0)^0 \bigcap \ker((d+\delta_\mu)^0)$, and, therefore, $Z = (d+\delta_\mu)U$ for a *uniquely determined* $U$. □

## 4. Non-abelian integration

The goal of the rest of the paper is to construct an explicit equivalence

$$\mathbb{I}\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{4.1}$$

Recall that $\Sigma_n(\mathfrak{g})$ is the set of Maurer-Cartan elements of the DGLA $\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, and therefore,

$$\Sigma_n(\mathfrak{g}) \subset (\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{g})^1 = \Omega_n^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \Omega_n^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \Omega_n^2 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{-1}.$$

Thus, every element $\mu \in \Sigma_n(\mathfrak{g})$ is a triple: $\mu = (\mu^{0,1}, \mu^{1,0}, \mu^{2,-1})$. The Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\mu + \delta\mu + \frac{1}{2}[\mu, \mu] = 0$$

translates into the following conditions on the components of $\mu$:

$$\delta\mu^{0,1} + \frac{1}{2}[\mu^{0,1}, \mu^{0,1}] = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

$$d\mu^{0,1} + \delta\mu^{1,0} + [\mu^{0,1}, \mu^{1,0}] = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

$$d\mu^{1,0} + \frac{1}{2}[\mu^{1,0}, \mu^{1,0}] + \delta\mu^{2,-1} + [\mu^{0,1}, \mu^{2.-1}] = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

$$d\mu^{2,-1} + [\mu^{1,0}, \mu^{2.-1}] = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ denote the bundle of Lie algebras over $\Delta^n$ whose underlying vector bundle is the trivial bundle with the fiber $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ and the bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_\mu$ defined by $\{a, b\}_\mu = [\delta_\mu a, b]$, where $\delta_\mu a = \delta a + [\mu^{0,1}, a]$. Let $\nabla^\mu$ denote the connection on $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ corresponding to $\mathtt{ad}(\mu^{1,0}) \in \Omega_n^1 \otimes \mathtt{Der}(\mathfrak{g}_\mu)$, i.e. $\nabla^\mu = d + \mathtt{ad}(\mu^{1,0})$ (where $\mathtt{ad}$ is the adjoint action in $\mathfrak{g}$).

It follows from (4.4) that the curvature of $\nabla^\mu$ is given by $\mathtt{ad}(-\mu^{2,-1})$ (where $\mathtt{ad}$ is the adjoint action in $\mathfrak{g}$).

In [24], Yekutieli constructed a theory of multiplicative integration on surfaces (cf. also Aref'eva's work [1]; a sketch of a construction, also based on Riemann products, is due to Kontsevich, cf. [21]). The following two sections are, in essence, our version of a part of [24], in a particular situation. First of all, we integrate only connection-curvature pairs, not more general pairs as in [24]. Second, in our case, all Lie algebras are nilpotent. Third, in the language used in [24], we are working in a special case of a crossed module $(G, H, \Phi, \Psi)$ where $G = \mathrm{Aut}(H)$, $\Psi : H \to G$

maps $h$ to $\mathrm{Ad}_h$, and $\Phi$ is the obvious action of $G$ on $H$. Also, we construct our multiplicative integral as a solution to a differential equation and not as a limit of Riemann-style integral products. Lastly, we are not dealing with the more general case when the Bianchi identity is true up to a central closed three-form.

### 4.1. Piecewise polynomial forms and connections.

In what follows, all polyhedra are by definition convex and rational polyhedra in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

#### 4.1.1. Piecewise polynomial differential forms.

For a vector space $V$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, polynomial forms on $V$ are by definition elements of the free commutative DGA $\Omega^\bullet(V) = \mathrm{Sym}(V^* \oplus V^*[-1])$. The De Rham differential on this DGA is the derivation of degree one that maps $V^*$ identically to $V^*[-1]$ and $V^*[-1]$ to zero. If $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are linear coordinates on $V$ then $\Omega^\bullet(V) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, dx_1, \ldots, dx_n]$ where $x_i$ are of degree 0 and $dx_i$ of degree 1. For a rational convex polyhedron $D$ in a rational space $W$ that generates an affine subspace $V$, forms on $D$ are by definition the same as forms on $V$. For a vector space $L$ over a unital commutative ring $k$ of characteristic zero, $L$-valued forms on $V$ (or on $D$) are elements of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \Omega^\bullet(V)$.

*Remark* 4.1. If a convex rational polyhedron $D$ is subdivided into convex rational subpolyhedra $D_i$, then a piecewise polynomial form on $D$ is a collection of polynomial forms on $D_i$ whose restrictions to common faces are equal. In particular, let a cube $I^k = \{0 \leq t_1, \ldots, t_k \leq 1\}$ be subdivided into a finite number of parallelepipeds $D_j = \{a_i^{(j)} \leq t_i \leq b_i^{(j)},\ i = 1, \ldots, k\}$. *In this paper, when we talk about piecewise polynomial forms on a cube, we always mean forms that are piecewise polynomial with respect to such subdivision. All our computations will be carried out for such forms.*

#### 4.1.2. Connection-curvature pairs.

**Definition 4.2.** We refer to the following data as a connection-curvature pair.

a) An affine space or a polyhedron $M$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, together with a module $L$ over a unital commutative ring $k$ of characteristic zero with a finite filtration $F$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the trivial bundle on $M$ with fiber $L$. We also denote by $E_L$ the algebra of endomorphisms of $L$ that preserve $F$ and are zero on $\mathrm{gr}_F$, and the trivial bundle with fiber $E_L$ by $\mathcal{E}_L$.

b) A piecewise polynomial one-form $A$ on $M$ with values in $E_L$. Put $\nabla = d + A$. We call this operator a connection in $\mathcal{L}$. We assume the curvature $R = \nabla^2$ to be piecewise polynomial.

c) An $\Omega^0(M)$-bilinear Lie bracket on $\Omega^0(M, L)$ that preserves $F$ and is zero on $\mathrm{gr}_F$. Here $\Omega^\bullet$ stands for the space of piecewise polynomial forms. We require the action of $d + A$ on $\Omega^\bullet(M, L)$ to be a derivation of the Lie algebra structure.

d) an $L$-valued piecewise polynomial two-form $\beta$ such that $\nabla^2 = [\beta, -]$ and $\nabla(\beta) = 0$.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a DGLA such that $\mathfrak{g}^i = 0$, $i < -1$, then an $n$-simplex in $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ defines the following connection-curvature pair: $M = \Delta^n$; $L = \mathfrak{g}_\mu^{-1}$; $\nabla = \nabla^\mu = d + [\mu^{1,0}, -]$; $\beta = -\mu^{2,-1}$.*

*Proof.* The fact that $\{\ ,\ \}_\mu$ is a Lie bracket follows from (4.2), and from $\mathfrak{g}^{<-1} = 0$. The fact that the connection preserves this bracket follows from (4.3). The equation $\nabla^2 = [\beta, -]$ follows from (4.4), and the Bianchi identity $\nabla(\beta) = 0$ from (4.5). □

*Remark* 4.4. Denote the unit interval $[0,1]$ by $I$. Given a connection-curvature pair on $M$ as above, assume it to be polynomial for simplicity, and consider a piecewise affine map $I^{k+1} \to M$; $(s,t) \mapsto x(s,t)$; here $s = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$. We assume that $I^{k+1}$ is subdivided into parallelepipeds as in Remark 4.1 above, and that the map $x$ sends vertices of the subdivision to rational points of $M$ and is affine on every rectangle of the subdivision. The inverse image of the forms $A$, $R$, and $\beta$ under the map $x$ define a piecewise polynomial connection-curvature pair on $I^{k+1}$. By abuse of notation, we will denote the forms on the cube simply by $A$ and $\beta$, and a point $(s,t)$ of the cube by $x(s,t)$. *This is the only situation we will be interested in.*

It is natural to view $\Omega^0(M,L)$ as sections of the (trivial) bundle with fiber $L$. Denote by $\Gamma_s$ the path $t \mapsto x(s,t)$, and by $\Gamma_{s;\,t_0 \to t_1}$ the segment of $\Gamma_s$ between $t_0$ and $t_1$.

4.2. **Holonomy.** As above, let $I$ be the unit interval $[0,1]$. Let $M$ be a polyhedron or an affine space. Let $\mathtt{pr}_M \colon M \times I \to M$ denote the projection; let $t$ denote (the pull-back to $M \times I$ by the projection of) the coordinate on $t$ on $I$. For a rational point $a$ of $I$ let $i_a \colon M \hookrightarrow M \times I$ denote the embedding $x \mapsto (x,a)$. We assume that $M$ and $I$ are each subdivided into polyhedra, and consider the product subdivision on $M \times I$.

For a vector field $\xi \in \Gamma(M; \mathcal{T}_M)$ we denote its image in $\Gamma(M \times I; \mathcal{T}_{M\times I})$ by $\xi$ as well. In the same fashion, the vector field $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $I$ induces a vector field, denoted $\partial_t$, on $M \times I$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a trivial vector bundle on $M \times I$ equipped with a piecewise polynomial connection $\nabla$ satisfying a) and b) of Definition 4.2. Let $R := \nabla^2 \in \Gamma(M \times I; \Omega^2_{M\times I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_L))$ denote the curvature of $\nabla$.

For $a \in I$ let $\mathcal{L}_a := \mathtt{pr}_M^* i_a^* \mathcal{L}$. The bundle $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{L})$ is equipped with the induced connection, also denoted $\nabla$, given by $\nabla(\phi) = \nabla \circ \phi - \phi \circ \mathtt{pr}_M^* i_a^* \nabla$, with curvature given by $\nabla^2(\phi) = R \circ \phi - \phi \circ \mathtt{pr}_M^* i_a^* R$. In particular, $(\iota_{\partial_t} \nabla^2)(\phi) = \iota_{\partial_t} R \circ \phi$.

In this setting we define *holonomy along* $t$, denoted by $\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{L})$, as the unique solution of the initial value problem (cf. Lemma 4.6)

$$\nabla_{\partial_t}(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}) = 0, \quad i_a^*(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}) = \mathtt{Id} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}_a).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}$ preserves the filtration and acts as the identity on the associated graded quotient.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For* $\xi \in \Gamma(M; \mathcal{T}_X)$

$$\nabla_\xi(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}) \circ (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1} = \int_a^t (\mathrm{Hol}_t^{(t)})^{-1}(\iota_\xi R).$$

*Proof.* We will show that both sides are solutions of the initial value problem $\nabla_{\partial_t}(\Phi) = \iota_{\partial_t}\iota_\xi R$, $i_a^*(\Phi) = 0$.

It is clear that both sides satisfy the initial condition. Differentiating the left-hand side we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad \nabla_{\partial_t}(\nabla_\xi(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}) \circ \mathrm{Hol}_a^{-1}) &= \nabla_{\partial_t}(\nabla_\xi(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})) \circ (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1} = \\ [\nabla_{\partial_t}, \nabla_\xi](\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}) \circ (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1} &= \iota_{\partial_t}\iota_\xi R \circ \mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)} \circ (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1} = \iota_{\partial_t}\iota_\xi R \end{aligned}$$

The first equality holds because of the Leibniz rule and $\nabla_{\partial_t}((\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1}) = 0$ (the latter is left to the reader); the second equality holds because $\nabla_{\partial_t}(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}) = 0$; the third equality uses the fact that $\xi$ and $\partial_t$ commute, and the calculation of the curvature of the induced connection on $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{L})$, see above.

Differentiating the right-hand side we obtain:

$$\nabla_{\partial_t}(\int_a^t (\mathrm{Hol}_t^{(t)})^{-1}(\iota_\xi R)) = (\mathrm{Hol}_a \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \circ (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1})(\int_a^t (\mathrm{Hol}_t^{(t)})^{-1}(\iota_\xi R)) = \\ (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(\int_a^t (\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1}(\iota_\xi R)) = \mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)}(\iota_{\partial_t}(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1}(\iota_\xi R)) = \iota_{\partial_t}\iota_\xi R \tag{4.7}$$

□

4.3. **Logarithmic derivatives.** In Lemma 4.5, we computed the value of $\nabla(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ where $\sigma$ is a section of the bundle of isomorphisms between two vector bundles with connections. Here and below, we will explain how to interpret expressions $\nabla(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ with $\sigma$ is being a section of a bundle of groups $\exp(\mathcal{L})$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a bundle of Lie algebras with a bracket-preserving connection.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra and $D$ its derivation. For an element $\sigma$ of the group $\exp(\mathcal{L})$, define an element $(D\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ as follows. For a morphism $T : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_2$, denote by $\exp(T)$ the induced morphism of groups $\exp(\mathcal{L}_1) \to \exp(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Let $T_D^\epsilon$ be the automorphism of $\mathcal{L} \otimes k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ sending $x + \epsilon y$ to $x + \epsilon y + \epsilon Dx$. Then

$$\exp(T_D^\epsilon)(\sigma) = \exp(\epsilon(D\sigma)\sigma^{-1})\sigma \tag{4.8}$$

where we use the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\exp(\mathcal{L} \otimes k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) \to \exp(\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathcal{L}$$

One has

$$(D(\sigma\tau))(\sigma\tau)^{-1} = (D\sigma)\sigma^{-1} + \mathrm{Ad}_\sigma((D\tau)\tau^{-1}) \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$(D(\sigma^{-1}))(\sigma^{-1})^{-1} = -\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}((D\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) \tag{4.10}$$

This follows from the fact that $\exp(\mathcal{L}[\epsilon])$ is isomorphic to the cross product of $\exp(\mathcal{L})$ by $\mathcal{L}$ via the adjoint action. Another useful identities are

$$D_1((D_2\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) - D_2((D_1\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) = ([D_1, D_2]\sigma)\sigma^{-1} - [(D_1\sigma)\sigma^{-1}, (D_2\sigma)\sigma^{-1}] \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ad}((D\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) = [D, \mathrm{Ad}(\sigma)]. \tag{4.12}$$

The first is obtained by applying to $\sigma$ the commutator of automorphisms $\exp(T_{D_1}^{\epsilon_1})$ and $\exp(T_{D_2}^{\epsilon_2})$, both viewed as automorphisms of $\exp(\mathcal{L} \otimes k[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]/(\epsilon_1^2, \epsilon_2^2))$ after an extension of scalars. The second is left to the reader.

If $D = \mathrm{ad}_x$ for $x \in L$, then

$$(D\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = x - \mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(x) \tag{4.13}$$

This follows from the fact that $\exp(T_D^\epsilon) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(\epsilon x)}$. One has also a general formula

$$(D(\exp(u)))(\exp u)^{-1} = (\frac{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u)} - 1}{\mathrm{ad(u)}})(D(u)) \tag{4.14}$$

([8], Lemme III.2).

In the smooth case, let $G$ be a simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $D$ be a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define $(D\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ locally near the identity by (4.8), then extend along the path from $e$ to an element $\sigma_0$ using (4.9). The result satisfies the equation

$$L_X((D\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Ad}_\sigma D(X) \tag{4.15}$$

in a neighborhood of the path, where $X$ is any element of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $L_X$ is the corresponding left invariant vector field. From this equation one sees that the result at $\sigma_0$ does not depend on the choice of the path in the given homotopy class.

4.3.1. **Logarithmic covariant derivatives.** Let $L$ and $\nabla$ satisfy a), b), and c) of Definition 4.2. For a polynomial section $\sigma$ of the bundle of groups $\exp(L)$, one can define an $L$-valued polynomial one-form $(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ by

$$\iota_\xi(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = (\nabla_\xi\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$$

(cf. 4.3) where $\xi$ is a vector field on $M$. Here the role of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is played by the Lie algebra of $L$-valued 0-forms. In case when $\sigma$ is piecewise polynomial, $(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ is defined but need not have to be piecewise polynomial; it is just a collection of forms on the polyhedra of the subdivision. The map $\xi \mapsto (\nabla_\xi\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ is $\Omega^0(M)$-linear, which follows from (4.14).

In the smooth case, for a bundle of simply connected Lie groups $G$ and the associated bundle of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ on a manifold $M$ and a bracket-preserving connection $\nabla$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, observe that, because the connection and therefore the holonomy preserves the bracket, our bundle is locally trivial as a bundle of Lie algebras (resp. of Lie groups). Define $(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ for a local trivialization by

$$(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = (d\sigma)\sigma^{-1} + (A\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$$

where the first term in the right hand side is the pullback of the canonical $\mathfrak{g}$-valued form by $\sigma$. It is easy to see that the result is well defined with respect to gauge transformations.

Assume now that $R$ is the curvature of $\nabla$ and that $R = \mathrm{ad}(\beta)$ for an $L$-valued two-form $\beta$. The form $(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ satisfies

$$\nabla((\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) = \beta - \mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(\beta) - \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}, (\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}] \tag{4.16}$$

which is a consequence of (4.11) and (4.13).

4.3.2. **Gauge transformations.** For $L$ and $\nabla$ as in 4.3.1, let $g$ be a section of $\exp(\mathcal{E}_L)$. Exactly as in 4.3.1, one defines an $\mathcal{E}_L$-valued form $(\nabla g)g^{-1}$. Define a new connection

$$g\nabla = \nabla - (\nabla g)g^{-1}.$$

We leave to the reader the usual properties of this new connection, such as

$$(g\nabla)^2 = g(\nabla^2) \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$(g\nabla(g(\sigma)))(g(\sigma))^{-1} = g((\nabla\sigma)\sigma^{-1}) \tag{4.18}$$

### 4.4. **Existence and uniqueness of solutions.**

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let $M$ be an affine space or a polyhedron and let $I$ be the unit interval* $[0, 1]$. *Consider subdivisions of $M$ and of $I$ into polyhedra. Let $L$ and $\nabla$ satisfy parts a) and b) of Definition 4.2 on the space $I \times M$ with the product subdivision. Let $t$ be a coordinate on $I$. For a piecewise polynomial section $F(t, s)$ of the trivial bundle with fiber $L$ :*

*a) the equation*

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}(u) = F \tag{4.19}$$

*with the initial condition $u(0, s) = u_0(s)$ has a unique solution in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ of piecewise polynomial functions with values in $L$.*

*b) The equation*

$$(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} G)G^{-1} = F \tag{4.20}$$

*with the initial condition $G(0, s) = G_0(s)$ has a unique solution in* $\exp(\mathcal{L})$.

*Proof.* Indeed, solving the equation reduces to an iterated process whose steps consist of algebraic operations of solving the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_p = a_p$ where $a_p$ is a known function with values in $F^pL/F^{p+1}L$, piecewise polynomial with respect to the product subdivision of $I \times M$. This is obvious for a); for b), observe that, because of (4.14), (4.20) becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = (\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u)}{e^{\mathrm{ad(u)}} - 1})(F) - Au$$

$\square$

4.4.1. **Holonomy of Lie algebra-valued connections.** Consider $M$ and $M \times I$ as in the setting of 4.2. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a trivial bundle on $M \times I$ with fiber $L$, as in Definition 4.2, a). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra acting on $L$. Let $A$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on $M \times I$. We assume the curvature $dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$ to be piecewise polynomial. The image of $A$ in $\Omega^1(M \times I, \mathcal{E}_L)$ is a one-form as in Definition 4.2, b).

We define *holonomy of $d + A$ along $t$*, denoted by $\mathbf{Hol}_a^{(t)} \in \exp(\Omega^0(M \times I, \mathfrak{g}))$, as the unique solution of the initial value problem (cf. Lemma 4.6)

$$\nabla_{\partial_t}(\mathbf{Hol}_a^{(t)})(\mathbf{Hol}_a^{(t)})^{-1} = 0, \quad i_a^*(\mathbf{Hol}_a^{(t)}) = 1.$$

The image of $\mathbf{Hol}_a^{(t)}$ in $\exp(\Omega^0(M \times I, \mathcal{E}_L))$ is easily seen to be the operator $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Hol}_a^{(t)})$, conjugation by the holonomy operator defined in 4.2.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Define the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued form $\mathbf{R} = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$. Then the statement of Lemma 4.5 is true for $\mathbf{Hol}_a^{(t)}$ and $\mathbf{R}$.*

The proof is identical to that of Lemma 4.5.

## 5. MULTIPLICATIVE INTEGRALS

For the standard $n$-simplex $\Delta^n$, denote by $v_i$ the vertex of $\Delta^n$ given by $t_i = 1$; let $\Delta_{i_0 \dots i_k}$ be the subsimplex with vertices $v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_k}$. The following results are, essentially, contained in [24].

**Theorem 5.1.** *For a polynomial connection-curvature pair as above on a two-simplex $\Delta$, for a vertex $v$ of $\Delta$, and for a choice of orientation of $\partial\Delta$, an element $I^v_\Delta \in \exp(L_v)$ is defined such that:*

*a) If $w$ is another vertex of $\partial\Delta$, then*

$$I^w_\Delta = \mathrm{Hol}_{v\to w}(I^v_\Delta)$$

*where the holonomy is taken along the oriented path on the boundary going from $v$ to $w$; if we change the orientation of the boundary, then $I^v_\Delta$ turns into its inverse;*

*b) $\mathrm{Ad}(I^v_\Delta) = \mathrm{Hol}_{v\to v}$ where the holonomy is as above;*

*c) for a polynomial connection-curvature pair on $\Delta^3$,*

$$I^{v_0}_{\Delta_{023}} I^{v_0}_{\Delta_{012}} = I^{v_0}_{\Delta_{013}} \mathrm{Hol}_{v_0\leftarrow v_1}(I^{v_1}_{\Delta_{123}})$$

This follows from a more general statement below.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For a connection-curvature pair on a square $D$ which is piecewise polynomial with respect to a subdivision into rectangles as in Remark 4.1, for a vertex $v$ of $D$, and a choice of orientation of $\Gamma = \partial D$, there exists an element $I^x_D \in \exp(L_x)$ such that:*

*a) If $w$ is another vertex of $D$ then*

$$I^w_D = \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{v\to w}}(I^v_D);$$

*if we change the orientation of the boundary, then $I^v_D$ turns into its inverse.*

*b) $\mathrm{Ad}(I^v_D) = \mathrm{Hol}_\Gamma$.*

*c) Consider a subdivision of $D$ into rectangles as above, together with a subdivision of $I$ into intervals. Consider a connection-curvature pair on $I \times D$ which is piecewise polynomial with respect to the product subdivision. Let $D_0$ be the lower face $\{0\} \times D$, $D_1$ the upper face $\{1\} \times D$, and $D_2$ the union of side faces $I \times e$ where $e$ are edges of $D$. For a vertex $v$ of $D$, let $v_0$ be the vertex $(0, v)$ and $v_1$ the vertex $(1, v)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hol}_{v_0\to v_1}(I^{v_0}_{D_0}) I^{v_1}_{D_1} = I^{v_1}_{D_2}$$

*Here $D_2$ is viewed as the image of a piecewise affine map $I^2 \to I \times \partial D \to I \times D$. The boundaries of $D_0$ and $D_1$ are oriented in the opposite ways. The boundary of $D_2$ is oriented so that its common edges with $D_i$ have the same orientation as they do in $D_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.*

The portion of the paper up to the beginning of 5.5.1 is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

5.1. **Coordinate systems.** We will construct $I^x_D$ using a choice of a coordinate system on $D$. We will prove that the definition is independent of such choice. Let us first explain what we mean by a coordinate system on $D$. Start with a subdivision of $I^2$ into rectangles $a_i \le s \le a_{i+1}$, $b_j \le t \le b_{j+1}$, with all $a_i$ and $b_j$ being rational. Define a coordinate system on $D$ as a piecewise affine map $x : I^2 \to D$ that sends vertices of the subdivision of $I^2$ into vertices of the subdivision of $D$. In addition, we require:

a) for every polygon $P$ of the subdivision of $D$ the preimage of $P$ under $x$ is a union of rectangles of the subdivision of $I^2$.

b) $x(s, 0) = x(s, 1)$ for all $s$; for $s > 0$ fixed, the map $t \mapsto x(s, t)$ identifies $I/(0 \sim 1)$ with the contour $\partial D_s$ where $D_s$ are polygons such that $D_{s_1} \subset D_{s_2}$, $s_1 < s_2$, and $D_1 = D$;

c) the image of the map $t \mapsto x(0,t)$ is a point (or a piecewise linear path between two different points).

It is clear that a coordinate system exists for every subdivision of $D$ into rectangles as above.

5.2. **A key lemma about holonomies.** For any piecewise polynomial connection-curvature pair on $I^3 = \{0 \leq s,t,u \leq 1\}$, denote

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{\Gamma_{s,u}} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s,u;\,0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\beta)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \int_{\Gamma_{s,u}} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s,u;\,0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}(\beta).$$

These are two $L$-valued functions of $s$, $u$, $t$.

**Lemma 5.3.**

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\mathcal{A} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}\mathcal{B} + [\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}] = (\mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s,u}}(\beta) - \beta)(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}) \tag{5.1}$$

*Proof.* Let us start with a gauge transformation that makes the holonomy of the connection trivial along all paths where $s$ and $u$ are constant. (To construct it, we apply Lemma 4.6, b)). The connection becomes

$$\nabla = d + Ads + Cdu$$

where $A = A(s,u,t)$ and $C = C(u,s,t)$ are two (piecewise polynomial) functions with values in $\mathcal{E}_L$ (recall that the latter is the Lie algebra of derivations of $L$ that are zero on the associated graded quotient of the lower central series). One has

$$R = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A,A] = A_t dtds + (C_s - A_u + [A,C])dsdu + C_t dtdu;$$

$$\beta = \alpha dtds + \rho dsdu + \mu dtdu \tag{5.2}$$

where $\alpha$, $\mu$, $\rho$ are (piecewise polynomial) functions with values in $L$; The horizontality of $\beta$ (the lifted Bianchi identity) becomes

$$\alpha_u - \mu_s + \rho_t + C(\alpha) - A(\mu) = 0; \tag{5.3}$$

the equation $\nabla^2 = \mathrm{ad}(\beta)$ implies

$$\mathrm{ad}(\alpha) = A_t;\ \mathrm{ad}(\mu) = C_t; \tag{5.4}$$

By (5.2), one has

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}\beta = -\int \alpha dt;\ \mathcal{B} = \int \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\beta = -\int \mu dt;$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\mathcal{A} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\mathcal{B} = -\int_0^1 \alpha_u dt + \int_0^1 \mu_s dt;$$

by (5.3), this is equal to

$$\int_0^1 \rho_t dt + \int_0^1 (C(\alpha) - A(\mu))dt;$$

also,

$$[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}] = \int\int [\alpha(t_1), \mu(t_2)] dt_1 dt_2 =$$

$$\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} [\alpha(t_1), \mu(t_2)] dt_2 + \int_0^1 dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} [\alpha(t_1), \mu(t_2)] dt_1;$$

by (5.4), this is equal to

$$-\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} C_{t_2}(\alpha(t_1)) dt_2 + \int_0^1 dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} A_{t_1}(\mu(t_2)) dt_1 =$$

$$-\int_0^1 C(t_1)\alpha(t_1) dt_1 + \int_0^1 C(0)\alpha(t_1) dt_1 + \int_0^1 A(t_2)\mu(t_2) dt_2 - \int_0^1 A(0)\mu(t_2) dt_2;$$

the second and the fourth summands become

$$-C(0)(\mathcal{A}) + A(0)(\mathcal{B}),$$

while the first and the third summands turn into

$$\int (A(\mu) - C(\alpha)) dt.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\mathcal{A} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\mathcal{B} + [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] - A(0)(\mathcal{B}) + C(0)(\mathcal{A}) + \rho(1) - \rho(0)$$

or

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\mathcal{A} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}\mathcal{B} + [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] = (\mathrm{Hol}(\beta) - \beta)(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}).$$

□

*Remark* 5.4. In a more general case when $\nabla(\beta) = \gamma$ where $\gamma$ is a central $L$-valued 3-form $\gamma$, an identical proof yields formula (5.1) with an extra summand $\int_\Gamma \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\gamma)$ in the left hand side. Accordingly, the proof of the Theorem goes without change, with the only difference that the right hand side of c) acquires an extra factor $\exp(\int \gamma)$.

*Remark* 5.5. The above Lemma can be interpreted as follows. Let $\nabla$ be a connection in an $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a manifold $M$, $\beta$ an $\mathcal{L}$-valued two-form, and $\gamma$ a central $\mathcal{L}$-valued three-form such that $\nabla^2 = \mathrm{ad}(\beta)$ and $\nabla(\beta) = \gamma$. Let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the space of paths on $M$ (here we leave out all technical details). Let $i_0 : \mathcal{P}(M) \to M$ be the map associating to a path its initial point. One can define a transgression map

$$\mathbb{T} : \Omega^{m+1}(M, \mathcal{L}) \to \Omega^m(\mathcal{P}(M, i_0^*\mathcal{L}) \tag{5.5}$$

by

$$\mathbb{T}(\alpha)(X_1, \ldots, X_m) = \int_\Gamma \mathrm{Hol}_{0\leftarrow t}(\iota_{X_1} \ldots \iota_{X_m}\alpha)$$

for vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ along a path $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Then

$$(i_0^*\nabla)(\mathbb{T}(\beta)) + \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{T}(\beta), \mathbb{T}(\beta)] = \mathbb{T}(\gamma) + i_0^*(\mathrm{Hol}_\Gamma(\beta) - \beta) \tag{5.6}$$

at a point $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. For comparison and details, cf. Kapranov ([18]) and references thereof.

5.3. **Definition and basic properties of $I_D^x$.** Given a coordinate system, denote, as above, the contour $s = \text{const}$ by $\Gamma_s$ with a given constant $s$. By $\Gamma_{s;t_0\to t_1}$ denote the positively oriented segment of $\Gamma_s$ with $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, and by $\Gamma_{s;t_0\leftarrow t_1}$ the negatively oriented segment from $t_1$ to $t_0$. Similarly if we interchange $t$ with $s$. Let $x_0(s) = x(0,s)$, the marked point of $\Gamma_s$.

Define the annulus $D(s_1, s_0)$ by the equation $s_0 \leq s \leq s_1$ and put $D(s) = D(s, 0)$.

**Definition 5.6.** Define $I(s) = I_{D(s)}^{x_0(s)}$ by the equations

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} I(s) \cdot I(s)^{-1} = \int_{\Gamma_s} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\beta) \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$I(0) = 1. \tag{5.8}$$

Here the left hand side of (5.7) is defined as in 4.3.1. Define $x_0 = x_0(1)$ and

$$I_D^{x_0} = I(1) \tag{5.9}$$

The above is well-defined because of Lemma 4.6, b). We want to show that $I_D^{x_0}$ does not depend on the choice of a coordinate system and satisfies all the statements of Theorem 5.2. To this end, define $I(s, s_1)$ by the equation (5.7) with the initial condition $I(s_1) = 1$.

**Lemma 5.7.** (1) *$I(s_2, s_1)\mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s_2\leftarrow s_1;t}} I(s_1, s_0) = I(s_2, s_0)$;*

(2) *under the coordinate change $t \mapsto t + t_0$, $I(s_1, s_0)$ becomes $\mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{0\to t_0;s_1}} I(s_1, s_0)$;*

(3) *$\mathrm{Ad}(I(s_1, s_0)) = \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s_1,s_0}}$ where $\Gamma_{s_1,s_0}$ is the contour*

$$x(0, s_1) \to x(0, s_0) \overset{\Gamma_{s_0}^{-1}}{\to} x(0, s_0) \to x(s_1, 0) \overset{\Gamma_{s_1}}{\to} x(s_1, 0)$$

*Proof.* (1) Let $s = s_2$. We fix $s_0$, $s_1$ and view $s$ as a variable. Apply to both sides of the equation the operation $\sigma \mapsto \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$ as in 4.3.1. For the left hand side we get $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(I(s, s_1))I(s, s_1)^{-1}$, due to (4.9) and to the fact that $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s\leftarrow s_1;t}})\mathrm{Hol}^{-1}_{\Gamma_{s\leftarrow s_1;t}} = 0$, the latter being true because the holonomy is $\nabla$-invariant. Therefore, both sides satisfy the same initial value problem

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = \int_{\Gamma_s} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\beta);$$
$$\sigma(s_1) = I(s_1, s_0).$$

By Lemma 4.6, b), they coincide.

(2) The isomorphism $\mathrm{Hol}_{0\to t_0} : i_0^*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{t_0}^*\mathcal{L}$ commutes with $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}$. Therefore

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\mathrm{Hol}_{0\to t_0} I^{x_0}(s_1, s_0))\mathrm{Hol}_{0\to t_0} I^{x_0}(s_1, s_0)^{-1} =$$
$$\mathrm{Hol}_{0\to t_0}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(I^{x_0}(s_1, s_0))I^{x_0}(s_1, s_0)^{-1};$$

this implies that both sides in (2) satisfy the same initial value problem

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = \int_{\Gamma_s} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;t_0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\beta);$$
$$\sigma(s_0, s_0) = 1.$$

Again by Lemma 4.6, b), they coincide.

(3) By (4.12), we have

$$[\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}, \mathrm{Ad} I(s,s_0)]\mathrm{Ad} I(s,s_0)^{-1} = \mathrm{ad}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(I(s,s_0))I(s,s_0)^{-1} =$$

$$\mathrm{ad}\int_{\Gamma_s} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(\beta) = \int_{\Gamma_s} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\leftarrow t}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}(R);$$

by Lemma 4.5, both sides in (3) satisfy the same differential equation, with the same initial condition $\sigma(s_0,s_0) = \mathrm{id}$. □

Recall the definition before Lemma 5.7. For a piecewise polynomial connection-curvature pair on $I^3$, put

$$I(s_1,s_0|u) = I(s_1,s_0)$$

at the level $u$, and $I(s|u) = I(s,0|u)$. We have

$$I(s_1,s_0|u) \in \exp(L_{x(s_1,u)}).$$

We want to prove the multiplicativity property c) from the Theorem, but, at first, with one of the factors not obviously equal to $I^x(D)$ as defined above. For a map $I^2 \to D$ where the coordinates on $I^2$ are $(t,u)$, define $J(u) \in \exp(L(x(0,u)))$ by equations

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} J(u)\cdot J(u)^{-1} = \int_{\Gamma_u} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{0\leftarrow t;u}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}(\beta) \tag{5.10}$$

$$J(0) = 1. \tag{5.11}$$

Denote by $J(s|u)$ the element $J(u)$ at the level $s$.

**Lemma 5.8.**

$$I(s|u)\cdot \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\to u}} I(s|0)^{-1} = J(s|u)$$

*in* $\exp(L_{x(s,u)})$.

*Proof.* Note that the value of both sides at $u = 0$ is equal to 1. We claim that both sides satisfy the same differential equation with respect to $u$. To see this, apply the operation $G \mapsto \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} G\cdot G^{-1}$ to both sides. For the left hand side, we get $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} I(s|u)\cdot I(s|u)^{-1}$ (because of (4.9) and because the holonomy is $\nabla$-invariant). For the right hand side, we get

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} J(s|u)\cdot J(s|u)^{-1} = \int_{\Gamma_{s;u}} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\leftarrow t;u}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}(\beta).$$

So, by Lemma 4.6, it is enough to check that

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} I(s|u)\cdot I(s|u)^{-1} = \int_{\Gamma_{s;u}} \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_{s;0\leftarrow t;u}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}(\beta).$$

We claim that both sides of the above equation are equal to zero when $s = 0$. Indeed, note that $I(0|u) = 1$ for all $u$ by definition, therefore the left hand side is zero at $s = 0$. Observe also that the contour $\Gamma_{0|u}$ is a point (or perhaps a piecewise linear path traveled forwards and then backwards); therefore, the integral over it, as in the right hand side, is zero.

Denote the left hand side above by $\mathcal{B}'$ and note that, by (4.16),

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \mathcal{B}' - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} I(s|u)\cdot I(s|u)^{-1} =$$

$$(\beta - \mathrm{Hol}_{\Gamma_s}(\beta))(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}) - [\mathcal{B}', \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} I(s|u) \cdot I(s|u)^{-1}]$$

Lemma 5.3 implies that both $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ satisfy the equation (5.1) in $s$ for the variable $\mathcal{B}$. Because of Lemma 4.6, they are the same. □

5.4. **Independence of a coordinate system.** Now let us show that $I^x(D)$ is well defined, i.e. does not depend on a subdivision of D and a coordinate system. First, two different subdivisions of $D$ admit a common refined subdivision. Construct the map $x : I^3 \to D \times I$ as follows. Consider two coordinate systems $x_0, x_1 \colon I^2 \to D$ as above, with the same subdivision of $D$. The map $x$ is defined to be $x_i$ on $D \times \{i\}, i = 0, 1$; $x(z, u) = (ux_0(z) + (1 - u)x_1(z), u)$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $z \in I^2$. By $D(s, u)$ denote the domain $D(s)$ at the level $u$, and by $\Gamma_{s,u}$ the boundary of $D(s, u)$. Let $x_0(s, u) = x(s, 0, u)$, the marked point of the contour $\Gamma_{s,u}$. Apply Lemma 5.8 and observe that $\beta = 0$ on $\{s = 1\}$. In fact, the restriction of the map $x$ to $\{s = 1\}$ factors through the one-dimensional $\partial D$, and $\beta$ is a two-form. We conclude that $J = 1$.

5.5. **End of the proof of Theorem 5.2.** To show that $I(s|u) = J(s|u)$, let us compare the definition of $I$ in (5.7) to the definition of $J$ in (5.10), (5.11). Observe that the latter is a partial case of the former for a special choice of a coordinate system. Namely, let $D' = I \times \partial D$. The connection-curvature pair is the inverse image of the one on $I \times D$ under the embedding $I \times \partial D \to I \times D$. The coordinate system on $D'$ is such that the polygons $D_{s_0}$ are equal to $\{0 \leq u \leq s_0\}$. One has $I = J$ because of the invariance property above.

This ends the proof of Theorem 5.2. To prove Theorem 5.1,construct $I^v_\Delta$ using any affine map $I^2 \to \Delta$ sending one edge to a vertex, and the other three to the three edges of $\Delta$. As was shown in the proof, the answer does not depend on a choice of such map. To prove part c), apply Theorem 5.2 to the case the map $x \colon I^3 \to \Delta^3, (s, t, u) \mapsto x(s, t, u)$, sending $u = u_0$ to the dilation of the face $\Delta_{123}$ from the center $v_0$ with coefficient $u_0$. In this case $D_1$ maps to a point and therefore $I^w_{D_1} = 1$; $I^v_{D_0} = I^v_{\Delta_{012}}$; $I^v_{D_2}$ is the product of the expressions $I_\Delta$ corresponding to the other three faces with appropriate orientations. This follows from Lemma 5.7, (1).

5.5.1. **The smooth case.** As in [24], the above results can be proven in the real smooth case. Let $M$ be a manifold. A connection-curvature pair on $M$ is:

a) a finite rank vector bundle $L$ of finite rank: with a $C^\infty_M$-bilinear Lie algebra structure on the sheaf of sections of $L$;

b) A bracket-preserving connection $\nabla$ in $L$;

c) An $L$-valued two-form $\beta$ such that $\nabla^2 = [\beta, -]$ and $\nabla(\beta) = 0$.

**Theorem 5.9.** *For a connection-curvature pair on a two-disc $D$, for a point $x \in \Gamma = \partial D$ and for a choice of orientation of $\Gamma$, one can define an element $I^x_D$ in the simpy-connected Lie group of $L_x$ such that:*

*a) $I^y_D = \mathrm{Hol}_{x \to y}(I^y_D)$.*

*b) If we change the orientation of $\Gamma$, $I^x_D$ changes into its inverse.*

*c) For a connection-curvature pair on $S^3$, $I^x_{D_+} = I^x_{D_-}$ where $D_\pm$ are the two complementary hemispheres.*

The proof is identical to the above, if one replaces piecewise polynomial maps by smooth maps. Note that all our differential equations automatically have solutions on however big interval because they all have properties like Lemma 5.7, (1). One can also replace the Bianchi identity c) from the definition of a connection-curvature pair by a more general $\nabla(\beta) = \gamma$ where $\gamma$ is a central $L$-valued three-form.The above proof, together with Remark 5.4, gives the following modification of the statement c):

$$I^x_{D_+} = \exp(\int_{S^3} \gamma) I^x_{D_-}. \tag{5.12}$$

## 6. Higher holonomy and $\Sigma = \mathrm{MC}^2$

Throughout this section $\mathfrak{h}$ will denote a nilpotent DGLA satisfying $\mathfrak{h}^i = 0$ for $i < -1$.

In this section we use the constructions of sections 4 and 5 to define the *higher holonomy* map of simplicial sets

$$\mathbb{I}\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \tag{6.1}$$

and show that it is an equivalence.

### 6.1. The construction of higher holonomy $\mathbb{I}$.

The map 6.1 is defined by the collection of maps

$$\mathbb{I}_n\colon \Sigma_n(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathrm{MC}(\Omega_n \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{N}_n\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

6.1.1. $n = 0$. By definition, $\Sigma_0(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{N}_0\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$; let $\mathbb{I}_0$ be the identity map.

6.1.2. $n \geq 1$. As above, denote by $v_i$ the vertex of $\Delta^n$ given by $t_i = 1$; let $\Delta_{i_0 \ldots i_k}$ be the subsimplex with vertices $v_{i_0}, \ldots, v_{i_k}$. For an $n$-simplex $\mu$, consider the corresponding connection-curvature pair as in Definition 4.2, with $M = \Delta^n$. The image of the simplex $\mu$ under the map $\mathbb{I}_n$ will be as follows (cf. the description of the nerve in Lemma 2.2 in the beginning of Subsection 2.2.1). The Maurer-Cartan element $\mu_i$ will be equal to $\mu(v_i)$. The morphism $g_{ij}$ is the $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$-valued holonomy (cf. 4.4.1) $\mathbf{Hol}_{\Delta_{ij}}$ of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-valued connection $d + \mu^{1,0}$ along the edge $\Delta_{ij}$. The natural transformation $c_{ijk}$ is the element $I^{v_i}_{\Delta_{ijk}}$ (cf. 5.3) where $\Delta_{ijk}$ is the 2-face connecting the vertices number $i$, $j$, and $k$. The fact that the identities from the definition of the nerve hold follow from the multiplicativity of $I^v_\Delta$ as in Theorem 5.1, c), as well as from the following observation. Consider a connection-curvature pair as in Lemma 4.3. Recall the morphism $\delta_\mu\colon \mathfrak{g}^{-1}_\mu \to \mathfrak{g}^0$. For a vertex $v$ of a two-simplex $\Delta$, let $\mu$ be the value of the Maurer-Cartan element $\mu^{0,1}$ at $v$. Then

$$\mathbf{Hol}_{v \to v} = \exp(\delta_\mu)(I^v_\Delta) \tag{6.2}$$

Indeed, because of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7 and because $\exp(\delta_\mu)\beta = \mathbf{R}$, both sides satisfy the same initial value problem.

**Proposition 6.1.** *The collection of maps $\mathbb{I}_n$ defines a morphism of simplicial sets* (6.1).

*Proof.* It is clear that the face and degeneracy maps from the definition of the nerve given in Lemma 2.2 are intertwined by the map $\mathbb{I}_n$ with the face and degeneracy maps from the definition of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ (the latter are induced on forms by the face and degeneracy maps between simplices). □

### 6.2. **Properties of the higher holonomy map.**

**Lemma 6.2.** *The map* $\pi_0(\mathbb{I})\colon \pi_0\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \to \pi_0\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ *is a bijection.*

*Proof.* The surjectivity of this map is clear, as $\mathbb{I}_0\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{h})_0 \to \mathfrak{N}_0\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$ is a bijection. To prove injectivity, assume that $\mu_0$, $\mu_1$ are two Maurer-Cartan elements in $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\exp(X)\colon \mu_0 \to \mu_1$, $X \in \mathfrak{h}^0$, is a gauge equivalence, defining one-simplex $\nu$ in $\mathfrak{N}_0\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$. Then $exp(tX)\cdot\mu_0 + Xdt$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in $\Omega_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ and thus defines one-simplex $\sigma_1$ in $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h})$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathbb{I}_1(\sigma) = \nu$. Hence $\mathbb{I}_1$ is a surjection, and the statement follows. □

Note that a one-simplex $\sigma_1$ in $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h})$ is given by a path $\mu_t$ of Maurer-Cartan elements in $\mathfrak{h}$ and a path $v_t dt$, $v_t \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, satisfying

$$d\mu_t + \delta v_t dt + [\mu_t, v_t]dt = 0. \tag{6.3}$$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is Abelian. Then, the map* (6.1) *coincides with the integration map* (3.8)*.*

*Proof.* It is enough to check the statement for $n \le 2$. In the case of $n = 1$ the claim follows immediately from the equation 6.3. The case of $n = 2$ follows from the definition 5.6 and the fact that holonomy with values in an abelian group is given by integration (case $n = 1$). □

Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a central subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$. Recall that the simplicial abelian group $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$) acts on the simplicial set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{h})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h})$).

**Lemma 6.4.** *The map* $\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ *is equivariant with respect to the morphism of simplicial groups* $\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{a}}$*.*

*Proof.* It is enough to observe the following: if one replaces a one-form $A$ by $A + a$ where $a$ is central, then the holonomy of $d + A$ gets multiplied by $\exp(\int a)$; similarly, if one replaces a two-form $\beta$ by $\beta + b$ where $b$ is central, then the multiplicative integral $I^x_D$ gets multiplied by $\exp(\int_D b)$. □

The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

**Lemma 6.5.** *The map* $\mathbb{I}$ *commutes with* $o_2$ *(see equation* (3.3)*).*

**Theorem 6.6.** *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is a nilpotent DGLA such that* $\mathfrak{g}^i = 0$ *for* $i < -1$*. Then, the map* (6.1) *is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* For $\mathfrak{g}$ abelian the theorem follows from lemmas 3.6 and 6.3.

We proceed by induction on the nilpotency length. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/F^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{a} = Gr^n_F\mathfrak{g}$, where $F^\bullet\mathfrak{g}$ is the lower central series. By induction the map

$$\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a}}\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a})$$

is an equivalence. By lemmas 6.2 and 6.5 the map $\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a}}$ restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathbb{I}_0^{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a}}\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a})_0 \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a})_0.$$

By Lemma 6.4 the map $\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a map of principal fibrations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[d] \\
\Sigma(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a})_0 \arrow[r, "\mathbb{I}_0^{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a}}"] & \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{a})_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

with respect to the map of simplicial groups $\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{a}}\colon \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{MC}^2(\mathfrak{a})$. Since the latter is an equivalence, it follows that the map $\mathbb{I}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an equivalence as well. □

## References

[1] I. Aref'eva. Non-Abelian Stokes formula. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 43(1): 111–116, 1980.

[2] T. Beke Higher Čech theory *K-theory*, vol.32 (2004), no.4, pp.293-322

[3] P. Bressler, A. Gorokhovsky, R. Nest, and B. Tsygan. Deformations of gerbes on smooth manifolds. In *K-theory and noncommutative geometry.* European Mathematical Society, 2008.

[4] P. Bressler, A. Gorokhovsky, R. Nest, and B. Tsygan. Formality for algebroid stacks. arXiv:0903.1841

[5] P. Bressler, A. Gorokhovsky, R. Nest, and B. Tsygan. Formality for algebroid stacks. arXiv:09031841.

[6] J.-M. Cordier. Sur la notion de diagramme homotopiquement cohérente. *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle*, 23(1), pages 93–112, 1982.

[7] P. Deligne. Letter to L. Breen, 1994.

[8] M. Duflo. Caractères des groupes et des algèbres de Lie résolubles. Annales scientifiques de l'È.N.S. 4e série, tome 3, n. 1 (1970), p. 23–74.

[9] J. W. Duskin. Simplicial matrices and the nerves of weak $n$-categories. I. Nerves of bicategories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 9, pages 198–308 (electronic), 2001/02. CT2000 Conference (Como).

[10] E. Getzler. Lie theory for nilpotent L-infinity algebras. *Ann. of Math.* (2), **170** (2009), no. 1, 271–301.

[11] E. Getzler. A Darboux theorem for Hamiltonian operators in the formal calculus of variations. *Duke Math. J.*, 111(3), pages 535–560, 2002.

[12] J. Giraud. *Cohomologie non abélienne.* Grünlehren **179**, Springer Verlag, 1971.

[13] W.M. Goldman, J.J. Millson. The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. *B*ull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) Volume 18, Number 2 (1988), 153–158.

[14] V. Hinich. Descent of Deligne groupoids. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1997, no. 5, pages 223-239.

[15] V. Hinich. Homotopy coherent nerve in deformation theory. arXiv:0704.2503.

[16] V. Hinich. DG-coalgebras as formal stacks. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 162 (2001), 209-250.

[17] V. Hinich. Deformations of homotopy algebras. *Communication in Algebra* 32 (2004), 473-494.

[18] M. Kapranov Membranes and higher groupoids. preprint.

[19] M. Kashiwara. Quantization of contact manifolds. P*P*ubl. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 32, no. 1 (1996), 1–7.

[20] M. Kontsevich. Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 66(3), pages 157–216, 2003.

[21] M. Kontsevich. Deformation quantization of algebraic varieties. In EuroConférence Moshe Flato 2000, Part III (Dijon). Lett. Math. Phys. 56 (2001), no. 3, pages 271–294.

[22] J. Lurie. Higher topos theory. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

[23] J.P. May. Simplicial objects in algebraic topology. The University of Chicago press, 1967.

[24] A. Yekutieli. Nonabelian Multiplicative Integration on Surfaces. Preprint, arXiv:math/1007.1250 [math.QA].

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Los Andes
*E-mail address*: `paul.bressler@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, UCB 395, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0395, USA
*E-mail address*: `Alexander.Gorokhovsky@colorado.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Copenhagen University, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
*E-mail address*: `rnest@math.ku.dk`

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208-2730, USA
*E-mail address*: `b-tsygan@northwestern.edu`